



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/581,072

05/31/2006

Gerhard Hamprecht

3165-144

2092

6449

7590

09/09/2009

ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.

1425 K STREET, N.W.

SUITE 800

WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

MURRAY, JEFFREY H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1624

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/09/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/581,072	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HAMPRECHT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JEFFREY H. MURRAY	<b>Art Unit</b> 1624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JEFFREY H. MURRAY. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) MARTHA CASSIDY. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 31 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner and applicant's attorney discussed the 112, 1<sup>st</sup> para. rejection in the final rejection dated May 29, 2009. Clarification was desired for what groups would be acceptable for R5 and R6 to overcome the 112, 1<sup>st</sup> para. rejection. Examiner stated that all alkyl, alkenyl, and alkynyl groups, whether substituted, halo or unsubstituted would be acceptable for R5 and R6. However, cycloalkyl, aryl, heterocyclic and heteroaryl rings would not be acceptable.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jeffrey H Murray/ Examiner, Art Unit 1624	/J. O. W./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624
---	--